

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,572	08/07/2003	Dae Young Kim	2950-0271P	8312
2292	7590 07/25/200	5	EXAMINER	
BIRCH ST	EWART KOLASCH	HINDI, N	HINDI, NABIL Z	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111220 011			2655	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/200	5 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/635,572	KIM, DAE YOUNG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NABIL Z. HINDI	2655
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	lune 2005.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-9 and 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er ·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		·
1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
2) ☐ Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (F10-945) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	latent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/635,572

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's amendment dated June 28, 2005. The following action is taken:

The claims are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the previous office action repeated herein.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9, and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kajiyama et al (6108296).

The independent claims merely read on a disk having a pit length of less than 3T. The reference shows an optical disk having reading apparatus wherein the disk having a pit length of 1T as shown in figs 1 and 2. The reference further includes an optical modulator and demodulator to write and read the less than 3T pit on the disk (fig 8).

Art Unit: 2655

With respect to the limitations of claims 3, 7 and 11-15. The reference shows the use of a 1T mark pit and a 1T mirror mark between the pits. The reference further shows the use of less than 2 pit length in column 3 lines 44-50 meeting the limitation "1.5T".

With respect to the limitation of claims 5. The claim read on the well established RF signal read from the disk using a slice level see fig 8.

Claims 6 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the cited prior art shows the use of comparing the RF signal to two different levels and a selector as claimed.

Applicant's arguments filed June 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are drawn to the newly added limitations in the claims. Applicant's argument is drawn to the prior art not showing the less than three and more than one. However such limitation is present in the reference as cited in column 3 lines 44-50 wherein the pit length is less than 2 which fall within the claimed range. In addition, applicant's IDS citing the reference JP11-7668 shows the use of pit length falling within the claimed range such as 2T, 1.25T, 1.6T...etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,572 Page 4

Art Unit: 2655

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z HINDI at telephone number (571) 272-7618.

MARY EXAMINI GROUP 2500

Pass